

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

THEODORE PARISIENNE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

BUSINESS INSIDER, INC.

Defendant.

Docket No. 17-cv-7939

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Theodore Parisienne (“Parisienne” or “Plaintiff”) by and through his undersigned counsel, as and for his Complaint against Defendant Business Insider, Inc. (“Business Insider” or “Defendant”) hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant’s unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of a grieving NYPD officer. The photograph is owned and registered by Parisienne, a New York-based professional photographer. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant resides in and/or transacts business in New York.

4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

5. Parisienne is a professional photojournalist having a usual place of business at 159 Martin Luther King Jr. Place, 2d Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11206.

6. Upon information and belief, Business Insider is a foreign corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with a place of business at 150 Fifth Avenue 8th Floor, New York, New York 10011.

7. Upon information and belief, Business Insider is registered with the New York Department of State, Division of Corporations to do business in the State of New York.

8. At all times material hereto, Defendant has owned and operated the website at the URL: www.businessinsider.com (the “Website”).

9. Defendant is a for-profit entity.

10. Defendant publishes news content on the Website.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background and Plaintiff’s Ownership of the Photograph

11. Parisienne photographed a grieving NYPD officer (the “Photograph”). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12. Parisienne then licensed the Photograph to New York Daily News.

13. On December 20, 2014, New York Daily News ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled *Two NYPD cops ‘assassinated’ in Brooklyn ‘revenge’ killing*. See <http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/nyc-crime/cops-shot-brooklyn-sources-article-1.2051941>. A true and correct copy of the New York Daily News article (which was updated on 12/21/14) is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

14. The New York Daily News article included an authorship credit (also known as a “gutter credit”) below the Photograph which clearly identified Parisienne as the author of the Photograph.

15. Parisienne is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.

16. The Photograph was registered with the U.S. Copyright Office and was given Copyright Registration Number VA 1-945-047, effective as of January 13, 2015 (the “Certificate”). A true and correct copy of the Certificate, along with a screen shot of an e-mail from the U.S. Copyright Office which confirms the photographs on deposit with the Certificate, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

B. Defendant’s Infringing Activities

17. Subsequent to publication of the Photograph in New York Daily News, Defendant ran an article on the Website entitled *2 NYPD Officers Were Killed In Their Patrol Car*. See <http://www.businessinsider.com/r-new-york-police-officers-shot-in-patrol-car-suspect-in-custody-2014-12> (the “Article”).

18. Defendant’s Article prominently featured the Photograph. Screenshots of the Article as it appears on the Website are attached hereto as Exhibit D.

19. Commercial advertisements on Defendant’s Website appear adjacent to, below or above the Photograph as displayed by the Article.

20. Defendant did not obtain an express license from Plaintiff to display the Photograph on the Website.

21. Defendant did not obtain an implied license from Plaintiff to display the Photograph on the Website.

22. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.

23. Prior to publishing the Photograph on the Website, Defendant did not communicate with Plaintiff.

24. Subsequent to publishing the Photograph on the Website, Defendant did not communicate with Plaintiff.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST BUSINESS INSIDER)
(17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-24 above.

26. Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website.

27. Defendant is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

28. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.

29. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Defendant have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.

30. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.

31. Alternatively, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages up to \$150,000 per work infringed for Defendant's willful infringement of the Photographs, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

32. Plaintiff is further entitled to his attorney's fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

33. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

1. That Defendant Business Insider be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
2. That Defendant Business Insider be ordered to remove the Photograph from the Website.
3. That Plaintiff be awarded either: (a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's Photograph; or (b) alternatively, statutory damages of up to \$150,000 per copyrighted work infringed pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504;
4. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;
5. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;

6. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and
7. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York
October 16, 2017

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: /s/jameshfreeman

James H. Freeman
11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 305
Valley Stream, NY 11580
Tel: (516) 233-1660
JF@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Theodore Parisienne*